



WP3

Validation of harmonized methods on selected wildlife host-pathogen pairs



» Main objective: To generate information on the practical feasibility and added value of the harmonized methods developed in WP1 and 2 by field-validating them on selected wildlife host-pathogen pairs in Europe. Therefore, we test the harmonized methods for estimating species abundance and for diagnosing pathogens.





» Three models for method validation selected:

Wild boar & Aujeszky's disease virus
Red fox & *Echinococcus multilocularis* Rodents & *Francisella tularensis*





- » Field data generated during the project
 - > Data generated using the proposed harmonized methods of WP 1 and 2
 - > Statistical evaluation of the methods by comparison with former data in the same area or data generated in similar areas using "gold standards" and/or current methodology
 - > Highlighting the practical implications of the proposed methodology
- » Field data already acquired by other projects and research
 - > Simulation of the proposed methods of WP 1 and WP2
 - > Evaluation of the proposed harmonized methods taken the basic data as "gold standard"
 - > Additional data and methods generated by APHAEA are integrated and the added value assessed





» Wild boar & Aujeszky's disease virus

- > Standardized method WP1: hunting statistics, provide metadata for statistics from the Partner's countries
- > Standardized method WP2: PCR and Serology (sera and tissue samples from the same area as hunting statistics)

» Red fox & Echinococcus multilocularis

- > Standardized method WP1: if available spotlight counts, hunting statistics (problem: not available in e.g. in Italy and Spain); also metadata very important
- > Standardized method WP2: collect intestine and find laboratories to test the samples with OIE counting method and PCR

WP3 – Berlin Meeting (1/2)



» Rodents & Francisella tularensis

- > Standardized method WP1: Snap trapping of *Microtus* arvalis and related rodents
- > Standardized method WP2: collect spleen; methods for tularemia diagnostics not finally agreed

WP3 – Berlin Meeting (2/2)



» Questionaire to all partners

- > Which host and pathogen combination is chosen by the partner?
- > Which geographical area within the country and time period has been chosen?
- > Which current population data and samples including diagnostic tests could be provided?
- > Which historical data could be provided?
- > Questions and details regarding data and sample collection will be phrased depending on the host/pathogen selection

Proposal to implement WP3 (1/3)



» Collection of population data and samples

- > FLI will provide a database structure for population data and sample results in MS EXCEL and/or MS Access
- > If necessary FLI will support partners transferring the local data in the proposed structure and will provide data from the EURL CSF database regarding hunting statistics in selected countries
- > Database tables will be uploaded to the internal section of the APHAEA website

Proposal to implement WP3 (2/3)



- » Statistical evaluation of the data and simulation of surveillance schemes
 - > Simulation of the proposed methods of WP1 and WP2
 - > Evaluation of the proposed harmonized methods taken the provided data into account (provision of historical data in advance would be appreciated)
 - > Harmonization and standardization potential as well as added value assessed

Proposal to implement WP3 (3/3)